Communication

Participation of the Allylsilane Group as a Terminator of Biomimetic Polyene Cyclizations to Form Steroid-Like Products¹

LESLIE R. HUGHES, RUDOLF SCHMID, AND WILLIAM S. JOHNSON

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Received July 13, 1979

The considerable synthetic utility of the allylsilane group is well documented (2). In particular it is attacked regiospecifically by an electrophile at the γ -carbon atom with concomitant elimination of the silyl residue to form an allylically transposed olefinic bond at the α,β position. Only a few cases are on record in which carbon electrophiles are involved in an intramolecular process resulting in cyclizations to form carbocycles (3), the first being that of Fleming *et al.* (3a), who made the intriguing observation that acid-catalyzed cyclization of the acetal 1 gives olefin 3 via cation 2.

As a part of our continuing interest in finding improved terminating groups for biomimetic polyene cyclizations, we undertook an investigation to ascertain whether the allylsilane group would serve as an effective terminator of such a cyclization process to form more than one ring, and, if so, whether it would participate so as to produce, with high stereoselectivity,² a trans-fused five-membered ring system having a steroid-like structure. These questions have now been answered in the affirmative through a study of the synthesis and cyclization of the substrates 4 and 5, the subject of the present communication.

¹ For recent papers in this series on biomimetic polyene cyclizations, see Ref. (1).

² In contrast with acetylenic terminators, olefinic types appear to participate in cyclizations with very high stereoselectivity to give *trans*-fused rings characteristic of the C/D ring system of steroids (1).

SCHEME 1. (a) 2 mol eq DIBAH, $Et_2O-C_6H_3CH_3$, $-70^{\circ}C$, 5 hr; (b) 3 mol eq $CH_2=C(CH_3)MgBr$, THF, 5-25°C, 2 hr; (c) 1 mol eq t-BuMe₂SiCl, imidazole, DMF, $-5^{\circ}C$, 0.5 hr; (d) $CH_3C(OEt)_3$, 0.1% $C_2H_3CO_2H$, 130°C, 1 hr; (e) 1 mol eq $Bu_4N^+F^-$, THF, 25°C, 15 hr; (f) 1.5-2 mol eq $CrO_3 \cdot C_3H_3N \cdot HCl$, CH_2Cl_2 , 25°C, 4 hr; (g) 13 + BuLi, THF, 0°C, then +12 at $-70^{\circ}C$, 1 hr; (h) excess LiAlH₄, Et_2O , 25°C, 2 hr; (i) 17 + C_6H_3Li , THF, 0°C, 1 hr, then +16 at $-70^{\circ}C$, 1 hr, then +2.5 mol eq $C_6H_3Li \rightarrow 0^{\circ}C$, 2 hr, then +EtOH at $-70^{\circ}C$.

The cyclohexenyl substrate 4 was synthesized in a convergent manner as shown in Scheme 1, the key step being a Wittig reaction between the aldehyde 16 and the phosphorane derived from the phosphonium salt 17. The synthesis of the aldehyde 16 began with the reduction of γ-butyrolactone (6) with diisobutylaluminum hydride (cf. (4)) to form 2-hydroxytetrahydrofuran (7).³ Treatment of lactol 7 with excess of isopropenylmagnesium bromide gave the diol 8^{4d,5} (90%), which on reaction with 1 mol eq of t-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (6) afforded the monosilyl ether 9^{4d,5} (89%); this in turn underwent a smooth Claisen orthoester reaction (7) to give the ester 10^{4d,5} (89%, 97.5:2.5 (E)/(Z) mixture by vpc). Desilylation (6) of this product gave the ester alcohol 11^{4d,5} (85%), which was oxidized (8) to the corresponding ester aldehyde 12^{4d,5} (73%). Condensation of 12 with the phosphorane derived from the known phosphonium salt 13 (9) produced the allylsilane 14^{4a,d,5a} (88%).⁶ The Wittig product 14

³ This product consisted of a 96:4 mixture of hemiketal and γ -hydroxyaldehyde tautomers in carbon tetrachloride (nmr); cf. (5).

⁴ The product was purified by (a) chromatography on Florisil, (b) chromatography on alumina, (c) chromatography on silica gel, and (d) distillation at reduced pressure in a short path distillation apparatus or in a Kugelrohr using a Büchi Kugelrohrofen.

⁵ (a) The nmr and ir spectra were consistent with the assigned structure. (b) A satisfactory combustion analysis was obtained for this compound.

⁶ A contaminant in this product as well as in that from the analogous Wittig reaction with aldehyde 23 (to give 24; see Scheme 2) was the silyl ether of type ii (14/ii = 5:1 and 24/ii = 9:1, respectively, by vpc). The formation of silyl ether ii can be rationalized by an internal elimination in the intermediate betaine i. The proposed mechanism is supported by the detection of triphenylphosphine in the reaction mixture.

vas converted to the key aldehyde $16^{4d,5a}$ (in 51% overall yield) by hydride reduction o give $15^{4a,5a}$ followed by oxidation (8). The aldehyde 16 was condensed with the hosphorane formed from the phosphonium salt 17 (10), (65:35 mixture of epimers), sing the Schlosser (11, 12) modification of the Wittig reaction, to give in 64% yield he cyclization substrate $4^{4a,5a}$ ($\Delta^{\text{pro-C-8},9} \ge 90\%$ (E) by vpc).

SCHEME 2. (a) Excess LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 5°C, 2 hr; (b) 6 mol eq CrO₃·2C₃H₅N, CH₂Cl₂, 25°C, 0.5–4 hr; (c) **20** + C₆H₃Li, THF, 25°C, 0.3 hr, then +**19** at -70°C, 0.5 hr, then +2 mol eq C₆H₃Li \rightarrow 0°C, 0.1 hr, then +MeOH at -70°C; (d) 2 mol eq Bu₄N⁺F⁻, THF, 25°C, 2 hr; (e) 13 + BuLi, THF, 0°C, 0.2 hr, then +**23** at -70°C, 1 hr, then 25°C, 1 hr; (f) 0.4% pTsOH, 5% H₂O-(CH₃)₂CO, 25°C, 48 hr; then 2% aqu. NaOH-EtOH-THF (4:2:5), 70°C, 5 hr; (g) excess MeLi, Et₂O, 0°C, 0.2 hr.

The synthesis of the cyclopentenyl substrate 5 (Scheme 2) followed similar lines except that the order of the two Wittig reactions was reversed. The aldehyde $19^{4d,5}$ was obtained in 92% yield from ester 10 by hydride reduction followed by oxidation (13) of the alcohol $18.^{4d,5}$ Condensation of aldehyde 19 with the phosphorane derived from the known phosphonium salt 20 (14) according to the Schlosser (11, 12) modification of the Wittig reaction afforded bisketal $21^{4b,5}$ (77%) as a 98:2 (E)/(Z) mixture

⁷ This substance was synthesized by a scheme similar to that used for the preparation of the known phosphonium salt 17 ($-S(CH_2)_2S$ — instead of CH_3O —, H) (11), except that the thioketalization step of the intermediate *iii* (X = O) was replaced by reduction with lithium tetrahydridoaluminate (to give *iii*, X = OH,H) followed by etherification with iodomethane and sodium hydride (to give *iii*, X = CH₃O,H; 65:35 mixture of epimers by vpc).

(by vpc) about the newly formed disubstituted double bond. Desilylation (6) gave the alcohol 22^{4a,5} (97%) which was oxidized (13) to aldehyde 23^{4d,5} (90%). Wittig reaction between this aldehyde and the phosphonium salt 13 (9) introduced the allylsilane residue to provide bisketal 24^{4b,5} in 87% yield. Hydrolysis to the corresponding dione followed by base-catalyzed cyclodehydration gave the cyclopentenone 25^{4a,5} (87%). Treatment of this ketone with an excess of methyllithium produced the tetraenol 5, which was subjected immediately to cyclization without purification.

The substrates 4 and 5 underwent smooth cyclizations upon addition to 0.5-2% solutions (v/v) of trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane maintained at -45 to $-35^{\circ}C^{9}$ to give mainly nonpolar hydrocarbons (single spot by tlc) and some polymeric material. Thus cyclization of the cyclohexenyl substrate 4 afforded DL-5 β -pregna-1,20-diene (26)^{4c,5a} in 66% yield as a 1:1 mixture (by vpc) of the 17α and 17β epimers. Cyclization of the tetraenol 5 gave in 83% yield the diene $29^{4c,d,5}$ as a 47:53 mixture (by vpc) of the 17α and 17β epimers. Only small amounts of by-products ($\leq 10\%$ of total of volatile products by vpc in the crude product mixture from cyclization of 4, $\leq 5\%$ in the cyclization of 5) were formed, thus indicating a highly regio- and stereoselective course of the cyclization. Lewis acids may also be used to induce cyclizations. Thus, addition of substrate 5 to a 2% solution of stannic chloride in dichloromethane at -45° C or to a 1% solution of stannic chloride in nitromethane—dichloromethane (4:1) at -30° C resulted in formation of $29^{4c,4d}$ (1:1, $17\alpha/17\beta$ epimeric mixtures) in 56 and 67% yields, respectively. Similar treatment with a 2.5% solution of boron trifluoride etherate at -40° C afforded $29^{4c,d}$ (1:1 epimeric mixture) in 72% yield.

The structural assignment of the dienes 26 and 29 is based on their spectroscopic properties (ir, nmr)¹¹ and on their chemical interrelation with authentic specimens (Scheme 3). Hydrogenation of 26 over palladium gave DL-5 β -pregnane (27)^{5a} as a $17\alpha/17\beta$ epimeric mixture. One of the epimers was shown to be identical (by vpc coinjection and nmr) with an authentic sample of 5β , 17β -pregnane (17β -27) prepared

⁸ In order to ascertain the (E)/(Z) ratio the bisketal 21 was converted to the cyclopentenone derivatives iv (R = H or SiMe₃) by acid-catalyzed deketalization (with simultaneous silyl ether cleavage) followed by base-catalyzed cyclodehydration and finally silylation with N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide. Analyses by vpc showed iv (R = H or SiMe₃) to be 98:2 (E)/(Z) mixtures of the disubstituted double bond. For comparison and proof of the configurational assignment, a normal Wittig reaction between 19 and 20 was carried out and the resulting bisketal 21 converted to the derivatives iv (1:4 (E)/(Z) mixtures by vpc).

⁹ See Ref. (1a) for a more detailed procedure.

¹⁰ The cyclization may indeed be completely regio- and stereoselective as the small amounts of by-products may have arisen from isomeric contaminants in the substrates 4 and 5.

¹¹ The most characteristic spectroscopic properties are the absorptions of the terminal vinyl groups (ir (film), 3080, 1635, 1000, and 915 cm⁻¹; ¹H-nmr (100 MHz, CDCl₃), 4.65-5.0 ppm (26) and 4.75-5.1 ppm (29), terminal vinyl protons) and the ¹H-nmr singlet absorptions of the methyl groups (26, 0.94 ppm (3H-C(19)); 0.72 and 0.52 ppm (3H-C(18) from 17a and 17β epimers); 29, 1.57 ppm (vinylic methyl group); 0.90 (3H-C(19)), 0.81 and 0.61 ppm (3H-C(18) from 17a and 17β epimers).

SCHEME 3. (a) 0.5-2% CF₃CO₂H, CH₂Cl₂, -45 to -35° C, then NaOH-CH₃OH; (b) H₂, 10% Pd/C, CH₃CO₂Et, 25° C, 24 hr; (c) HS-(CH₂)₂-SH, CH₃CO₂H, BF₃·Et₂O, 25° C, 1 hr, then Raney-Ni, EtOH, 78° C, 1 hr; (d) O₃, 1% C₅H₃N-CH₂Cl₂, -70° C, then Zn, CH₃CO₂H, 25° C, 0.5 hr; (e) CrO₃·H₂SO₄, (CH₃)₂CO, 0° C, 0.25 hr; (f) 2% aqu. NaOH-EtOH-THF (4:2:5), 70° C, 4 hr; (g) CH₂N₂, CH₂Cl₂-Et₂O, 0° C, 0.2 hr; (h) 2 mol eq Me₂CuLi, Et₂O, -20° C, 0.1 hr.

by Raney nickel desulfuration of the ethylene thicketal of ketone 28 (15). Ozonolysis of diene 29 (reductive processing) afforded crude diketoaldehyde 305a in 90% yield. Oxidation (16) of the aldehyde function and subsequent base-catalyzed cyclodehydration of the diketone moiety produced the carboxylic acid 315a (95%, 1:1 epimeric mixture by nmr), which was further converted with diazomethane into the methyl ester 32^{5a} (1:1 epimeric mixture by vpc and nmr). The epimer with the longer vpc retention time was shown to be identical (by vpc-coinjection and nmr) with an authentic sample of ester $17\beta-32$ prepared from naturally derived acid (+)-17 β -31. The structural assignment for the 17α epimer was confirmed by calculations of ¹H-nmr chemical shifts according to Zürcher (17).12 Moreover this epimer was shown to have identical vpc behavior (coinjection) with the minor component of a 5:95 mixture of 17a- and 17β -32, which was obtained by partial epimerization of the authentic acid (+)-17 β -31 (in refluxing acetic anhydride-pyridine) and subsequent esterification. Alternatively the diketoaldehyde 30 was converted in 44% overall yield into DL-progesterone (33)4a,5a (3:7, 17α/17β epimeric mixture) by a sequence of selective addition of lithium dimethylcuprate to the aldehyde group (18), oxidation (16) of the resulting secondary alcohol and finally base-catalyzed cyclodehydration of the unaltered diketone moiety. A vpc and nmr comparison of this synthetic material with a 2:8 mixture of 17a- and 17\betaprogesterone obtained by base-catalyzed equilibration of an authentic sample of progesterone revealed their identity.

¹² The calculated chemical shifts for the angular methyl groups of 32 were in excellent agreement with the observed absorptions (3H–C(18), calc. 0.925 and 0.717 ppm, obs. 0.92 and 0.71 ppm for 17a- and 17β -32; 3H–C(19), calc. 1.192 and 1.201 ppm, obs. 1.19 ppm).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Institutes of Health and the National Science Foundation for support of this research. We also thank the Donors of The Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support. R.S. is indebted to the "Stiftung für Stipendien auf dem Gebiete der Chemie," Basel, Switzerland, for financial aid.

REFERENCES

- (a) W. S. JOHNSON, L. R. HUGHES, J. A. KLOEK, T. NIEM, AND A. SHENVI, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 101, 1279 (1979);
 (b) W. S. JOHNSON, L. R. HUGHES, AND J. L. CARLSON, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 101, 1281 (1979).
- 2. E. W. Colvin, Chem. Soc. Rev. 7, 15 (1978); see pp. 21-24 and references cited therein.
- (a) I. Fleming, A. Pearce, and R. L. Snowden, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 182 (1976);
 (b) T. K. Sarkar and N. H. Andersen, Tetrahedron Lett., 3513 (1978).
- J. SCHMIDLIN AND A. WETTSTEIN, Helv. Chim. Acta 46, 2799 (1963); J. S. BARAN, J. Org. Chem. 30, 3564 (1965); G. SAUCY, R. BORER, AND A. FÜRST, Helv. Chim. Acta 54, 2034 (1971).
- S. A. BARKER, J. S. BRIMACOMBE, A. B. FOSTER, D. H. WHIFFEN, AND G. ZWEIFEL, Tetrahedron 7, 10 (1959); C. D. HURD AND W. H. SAUNDERS, JR., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 74, 5324 (1952).
- 6. E. J. Corey and A. Venkateswarlu, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 94, 6190 (1972).
- W. S. Johnson, T. J. Brocksom, P. Loew, D. H. Rich, L. Werthemann, R. A. Arnold, T.-t. Li, and D. J. Faulkner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 4463 (1970).
- 8. E. J. COREY AND J. W. SUGGS, Tetrahedron Lett. 2647 (1975).
- D. SEYFERTH, K. R. WURSTHORN, AND R. E. MAMMARELLA, J. Org. Chem. 42, 3104 (1977);
 D. Seyferth, personal communication.
- 10. L. F. Dubas, Ph.D. dissertation, Stanford University, 1978.
- 11. W. S. JOHNSON, B. E. McCARRY, R. L. MARKEZICH, AND S. G. BOOTS, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., to appear Feb., 1980.
- (a) M. SCHLOSSER AND K. F. CHRISTMANN, Angew. Chem. 78, 115 (1966); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
 126 (1966); J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 708, 1 (1967); (b) W. S. JOHNSON AND G. E. DUBOIS, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 98, 1038 (1976); see note 12.
- 13. R. RATCLIFFE AND R. RODEHORST, J. Org. Chem. 35, 4000 (1970).
- 14. W. S. JOHNSON, M. B. GRAVESTOCK, AND B. E. McCARRY, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 93, 4332 (1971).
- 15. M. Fetizon, F. J. Kakis, and V. Ignatiadou-Ragoussis, J. Org. Chem. 38, 4308 (1973).
- 16. K. BOWDEN, I. M. HEILBRON, E. R. H. JONES, AND B. C. L. WEEDON, J. Chem. Soc., 39 (1946).
- 17. R. F. ZÜRCHER, Helv. Chim. Acta 46, 2054 (1963).
- G. H. Posner, C. E. Whitten, and P. E. McFarland, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 94, 5106 (1972);
 E. Barreiro, J. L. Luche, J. Zweig, and P. Crabbé, Tetrahedron Lett., 2353 (1975).